

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/827,095	04/19/2004	Ben Huang	WINN.020C1	1470
20995	7590 11/29/2005		EXAMINER	
· · · ·	ARTENS OLSON &	BLAU, STEPHEN LUTHER		
2040 MAIN S FOURTEENT			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
IRVINE, CA 92614			3711	
			DATE MAILED: 11/29/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			1600
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/827,095	HUANG, BEN	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Stephen L. Blau	3711	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence ad	dress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Faiture to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this or D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 C	October 2005.		
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the	merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under t	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims		•	
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1 and 10-16 is/are pending in the approach 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) 1 and 14-16 is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 10 and 12 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) 11 and 13 is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or</li> </ul>	wn from consideration.	·	
Application Papers		•	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Settion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CF	• •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	is have been received. Is have been received in Application of the second in the secon	on No ed in this National	Stage
Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) 🖂 Intentions Summer	(PTO 442)	
<ul> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/14/05, 10/25/05.</li> </ul>	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	ate	)-152)

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Information Disclosure Statement

- 1. The information disclosure statement filed 14 September 2005 fails to comply with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97, 1.98 and MPEP § 609 because
- a. Some of the Non Patent Literature Documents listed do not identify a date (References 47, 92, 104 and 107).
- b. Some of the US Publications contain incorrect numbers. There appears to be missing zeros (References 30 and 32).

This IDS has been placed in the application file, but the information in the above noted references referred to therein has not been considered as to the merits.

Applicant is advised that the date of any re-submission of any item of information contained in this information disclosure statement or the submission of any missing element(s) will be the date of submission for purposes of determining compliance with the requirements based on the time of filing the statement, including all certification requirements for statements under 37 CFR 1.97(e). See MPEP § 609.05(a).

2. The information disclosure statement filed 25 October 2005 fails to comply with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97, 1.98 and MPEP § 609 because some of the Non Patent Literature Documents listed do not identify a date (References 1, 3, 5, 16 and 20). This IDS has been placed in the application file, but the information in the above noted

Application/Control Number: 10/827,095 Page 3

Art Unit: 3711

references referred to therein has not been considered as to the merits. Applicant is advised that the date of any re-submission of any item of information contained in this information disclosure statement or the submission of any missing element(s) will be the date of submission for purposes of determining compliance with the requirements based on the time of filing the statement, including all certification requirements for statements under 37 CFR 1.97(e). See MPEP § 609.05(a).

## **Double Patenting**

3. The terminal disclaimer dated 14 September 2005 has been entered and approved. As such the rejections under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,733,401 in view of Smith has been removed.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 10 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Huang (5,797,813) in view of Huang (6,386,989).

Art Unit: 3711

Huang (5,797,813) discloses a heat formed recessed (42) reinforced surface formed on a first side edge of a polyurethane layer, a heat compressed non-recessed densified area formed on a second side edge of a polyurethane layer in the form of the surface bonded to the upper surface of a felt layer opposite surface 44 (Fig. 8) and a strip adapted to be spirally wrapped (Fig. 18) about a sleeve with a second side edge of a strip overlying the first side edge of a strip (Fig. 20) to from a relatively smooth surface in the form of the surface between the juncture (Fig. 18).

Huang (5,797,813) lacks an underlisting sleeve having a cap and a nipple.

Huang (6,386,989) discloses an underlisting sleeve having a cap (22) and a nipple (Fig. 8) in order to avoid the disadvantages of tape and ferrules (Col. 1, Lns. 26-36). In view of the patent of Huang (6,386,989) it would have been obvious to modify the patent of Huang (5,797,813) to have an underlisting sleeve having a cap (22) and a nipple (Fig. 8) in order to avoid the disadvantages of tape and ferrules.

## Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 11 and 13 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the double patenting rejection(s) set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. None of the prior art discloses or renders as obvious overlying edges forming a seam not exceeding .5 mm in width in addition to the other elements of structure claimed.

Application/Control Number: 10/827,095

Art Unit: 3711

Page 5

7. Claims 1, and 14-16 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the double patenting rejection(s) set forth in this Office action. With respect to claims 1, none of the prior art discloses or renders as obvious a relatively smooth junction between first and second side edges in addition to the other elements of structure claimed. With respect to claims 14-16, none of the prior art discloses or renders as obvious a method having a step of applying heat through the outside surface of the felt layer to the polyurethane layer at a second side edge of the strip to form a compressed densified area in addition to the other elements of structure claimed.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

## Response to Arguments

8. The argument that '813 does not have a heat compressed non-recessed densified area formed on a second side edge of a polyurethane layer is disagreed with. The surface of the second side edge which is bonded to the felt layer has this structure. Nothing limits the surface to only be the upper surface which is not bonded to the felt layer. The examiner agrees with the applicants comment to the reasons for allowance.

That is why the examiner placed the statement "in addition to the other elements of structure claimed" in the comments.

9. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

#### Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen L. Blau whose telephone number is (571) 272-4406. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri 10:00 AM - 6:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eugene Kim can be reached on (571) 272-4463. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/827,095 Page 7

Art Unit: 3711

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SLB/22 November 2005

STEPHEN BLAU PRIMARY EXAMINER